arXiv:1110.1770v1 [math.CA] 8 Oct 2011

Boundedness of singular integrals and their commutators with BMO functions on Hardy spaces

Bui The Anh [*] Xuan Thinh Duong [†]

Abstract

In this paper, we establish sufficient conditions for a singular integral T to be bounded from certain Hardy spaces H_L^p to Lebesgue spaces L^p, $0 < p \leq 1$, and for the commutator of T and a BMO function to be weak-type bounded on Hardy space H_L^1. We then show that our sufficient conditions are applicable to the following cases: (i) T is the Riesz transform or a square function associated with the Laplace-Beltrami operator on a doubling Riemannian manifold, (ii) T is the Riesz transform associated with the magnetic Schrödinger operator on an Euclidean space, and (iii) $T = g(L)$ is a singular integral operator defined from the holomorphic functional calculus of an operator L or the spectral multiplier of a non-negative self adjoint operator L.

Contents

1 Introduction and statement of main results 2

2 Hardy spaces associated to operators 5

2.1 Hardy spaces $H_L^p(X)$ for $p \geq 1$ 5

2.2 The atomic Hardy spaces $H_L^p(X)$ for $p \leq 1$ 6

2.3 A characterization of $H_{L,at,M}^p(X)$ in terms of square functions 8

3 Boundedness of singular integral operators and their commutators 8

4 Commutators of BMO functions and Riesz transforms or square functions on doubling manifolds 12

---

[*] Bui The Anh was supported by a Macquarie University scholarship

[†] Xuan Thinh Duong was supported by a research grant from Macquarie University

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 42B20, 35B65 Secondary: 35K05, 42B25, 47B38, 58J35.

Key words: Hardy space, BMO space, Laplace-Beltrami operator, magnetic Schrödinger operator, space of homogeneous type.

5 Commutators of BMO functions and Riesz transforms associated with magnetic Schrödinger operators 16
5.1 Some kernel estimates on heat semigroups 18
5.2 The proof of main result 19

6 Holomorphic functional calculi and spectral multipliers 25
6.1 Preliminaries on holomorphic functional calculus 25
6.2 Application to holomorphic functional calculus 26
6.3 Application to spectral multipliers 29

1 Introduction and statement of main results

The Calderón-Zygmund theory of singular integral operators has been a central part of Harmonic analysis and has had extensive applications to estimates on regularity of solutions to partial differential equations. There are a number of recent works which study singular integral operators with non-smooth kernels that are beyond the standard Calderón-Zygmund theory. See, for example [DM], [CD3] and [AM]. This paper is a study in that direction, aiming to study boundedness of certain singular integral operators and their commutators with BMO functions. Our results are applicable to large classes of differential and integral operators which include the Riesz transforms on manifolds, the holomorphic functional calculi and spectral multipliers of non-negative self adjoint operators such as the Laplace-Beltrami operators on manifolds and magnetic Schrödinger operators on Euclidean spaces.

Let us first explain our framework. Let (X, d, μ) be a metric measure space endowed with a distance d and a nonnegative Borel doubling measure μ on X. Recall that a metric is doubling provided that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $x \in X$ and for all $r > 0$,

$$V(x, 2r) \leq CV(x, r) < \infty, \tag{1}$$

where $B(x, r) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < r\}$ and $V(x, r) = \mu(B(x, r))$. In particular, X is a space of homogeneous type. A more general definition and further studies of these spaces can be found in [CW1, Chapter 3]. Note that the doubling property implies the following strong homogeneity property,

$$V(x, \lambda r) \leq c\lambda^n V(x, r) \tag{2}$$

for some $c, n > 0$ uniformly for all $\lambda \geq 1$ and $x \in X$. The smallest value of the parameter n in the right hand side of (2) is a measure of the dimension of the space. There also exist c and $N, 0 \leq N \leq n$, so that

$$V(y, r) \leq c\Big(1 + \frac{d(x, y)}{r}\Big)^N V(x, r) \tag{3}$$

uniformly for all $x, y \in X$ and $r > 0$. Indeed, property (3) with $N = n$ is a direct consequence of the triangle inequality of the metric d and the strong homogeneity property. To simplify notation, we will often just use B for $B(x_B, r_B)$. Also given $\lambda > 0$, we will write λB for the λ-dilated ball, which is the ball with the same center as B and with radius $r_{\lambda B} = \lambda r_B$. For each ball $B \subset X$ we set

$$S_0(B) = B \text{ and } S_j(B) = 2^j B \backslash 2^{j-1} B \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In this paper we will assume that there exists an operator L defined on $L^2(X)$. We consider the following assumptions:

(**H1**) L is a non-negative self-adjoint operator on $L^2(X)$;

(**H2**) The operator L generates an analytic semigroup $\{e^{-tL}\}_{t>0}$ which satisfies the Davies-Gaffney condition. That is, there exist constants C, $c > 0$ such that for any open subsets U_1, $U_2 \subset X$,

$$|\langle e^{-tL} f_1, f_2 \rangle| \leq C \exp\Big(- \frac{\operatorname{dist}(U_1, U_2)^2}{c\,t} \Big) \|f_1\|_{L^2(X)} \|f_2\|_{L^2(X)}, \quad \forall\, t > 0, \tag{4}$$

for every $f_i \in L^2(X)$ with $\operatorname{supp} f_i \subset U_i$, $i = 1, 2$, where $\operatorname{dist}(U_1, U_2) := \inf_{x \in U_1, y \in U_2} d(x, y)$.

(**H3**) The kernel $p_t(x, y)$ of e^{-tL} satisfies the Gaussian upper bound, i.e. there exist constants C, $c > 0$ such that for almost every $x, y \in X$,

$$|p_t(x, y)| \leq \frac{C}{V(x, \sqrt{t})} \exp\Big(- \frac{d^2(x, y)}{ct} \Big), \forall t > 0. \tag{5}$$

Remark 1.1. *It is easy to check that the Gaussian bound* (**H3**) *implies condition* (**H2**).

We list a number of examples:

(i) It is well known that the Laplace operator Δ on the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies (**H1**) *and* (**H3**). *So do the second order non-negative self-adjoint divergence form operators with real bounded measurable coefficients on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Second order divergence form operators with complex bounded measurable coefficients on $\mathbb{R}^n$ would satisfy* (**H2**), *satisfy* (**H3**) *for low dimensions n but might not satisfy* (**H3**) *for higher dimensions n. See for example [Da].*

(ii) Schrödinger operators or magnetic Schrödinger operators with real potentials satisfy (**H1**) *and* (**H3**), *see [Si].*

(iii) Laplace-Beltrami operators on all complete Riemannian manifolds satisfy (**H1**) *and* (**H2**) *but do not satisfy* (**H3**) *in general, [Da].*

(iv) Laplace type operators acting on vector bundles satisfy (**H1**) *and* (**H2**), *see [S].*

Our aim in this paper is to obtain boundedness of certain singular integral operators with non-smooth kernels and boundedness of their commutators via estimates on related function spaces. Recently, the theory of Hardy spaces associated with operators was studied by many authors, see for examples [ADM], [AMR], [AR], [DY2], [HLMMY], [HM], [HMMc], [DL] and [Y]. We denote by $H^p_L(X), 0 < p \leq 1$, the Hardy spaces associated to the operator L. For the precise definition, we refer the reader to Section 2.

Assume that T is a bounded operator on $L^2(X)$. There are a number of known sufficient conditions on T or its associated kernel $k(x,y)$ so that L^2 boundedness of T can be extended to other spaces such as Lebesgue space L^p, $p \neq 2$, Hardy spaces, and BMO spaces. See, for example [HLMMY] and [DL] for boundedness of holomorphic functional calculi of certain generators of analytic semigroups on Hardy spaces. It is also a natural question to consider boundedness of the commutator of a BMO function b and T which is given by

$$[b,T]f(x) := T(b(x)-b)f(x)$$

for all functions f with compact supports. See, for example [St] chapter 7 and [DY1].

In this paper, we establish a sufficient condition on an L^2 bounded operator T so that it implies both the following:

(i) T is bounded from the Hardy spaces $H^p_L(X)$ to $L^p(X)$, $0 < p \leq 1$; and

(ii) the commutator $[b,T]$ is bounded from $H^1_L(X)$ to $L^{1,\infty}(X)$ under the extra assumption that T is of weak type $(1,1)$.

While the boundedness of singular integral operators whose kernels are not smooth enough to belong to the standard class of Calderón-Zygmund operators and the boundedness of the commutators of BMO functions and these operators was studied extensively, see for example [DM], [ACDH], [DY1], [AM] and their references, the boundedness of the commutators of BMO functions and these operators at the end-point spaces is much less well known. Our main results in this paper include a sufficient condition so that weak type $(1,1)$ estimates of T implies certain weak type boundedness of its commutators $[b,T]$ and the condition is general enough to be applicable to a wide range of operators in Sections 4, 5 and 6. The main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *Let L be an operator which satisfies* (**H1**) *and* (**H2**). *Let $0 < p \leq 1$. Denote by a an $(p,2,m)$-atom in the Hardy space H^p_L associate to the operator L. (See Section 2 for the precise definition). Assume that T is a bounded operator on $L^2(X)$ so that Ta satisfies the estimate*

$$\Big(\int_{S_j(B)} |Ta|^2 dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C 2^{-2jm} V(B)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}} \tag{6}$$

for any $(p,2,m)$-atom a supported in the ball B. Then, we have:

(i) T is bounded from $H^p_L(X)$ to $L^p(X)$; and

(ii) in addition, if T is of weak type $(1,1)$ then the commutator $[T,b]$, where b is a BMO *function, maps continuously from H^1_L to $L^{1,\infty}$.*

Remark 1.3. *(a) The main result of Theorem 1.2 is the boundedness of the commutators in (ii). The result in (i) on boundedness of T on Hardy spaces was proved in many situations and can be considered as folklore.*

(b) There is no regularity condition on the kernel of T, so in general T is not a standard Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operator (whose kernel is required to be Hölder continuous or at least to satisfy Hörmander condition).

(c) In Sections 4, 5 and 6, we apply Theorem 1.2 to obtain boundedness of various singular integral operators and their commutators which do not belong to the class of Calderón-Zygmund operators.

(d) From (i) by interpolation Theorem [HLMMY, Theorem 9.3], T is bounded from $H^p_L(X)$ to $L^p(X)$ for $0 < p \leq 2$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall definition of $H^p_L(X)$, the Hardy space associated to the operator L, and some characterizations of $H^p_L(X)$. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is given in Section 3. In Section 4, we consider the Riesz transform T on a doubling manifold and use Theorem 1.2 to obtain some endpoint estimates of commutators of Riesz transforms on manifolds. In Section 5, we consider the boundedness of Riesz transforms of magnetic Schrödinger operators and their commutators. We will show that Riesz transforms of magnetic Schrödinger operators are bounded from H^p_A to L^p for all $0 < p \leq 1$ and the commutators of Riesz transforms and BMO functions are bounded from H^1_A to $L^{1,\infty}$. In Section 6, we show boundedness of holomorphic functional calculus and spectral multipliers of an operator which generates a holomorphic semigroup with suitable kernel bounds.

The first author would like to thank Luong Dang Ky for his useful discussion.

2 Hardy spaces associated to operators

The theory of Hardy spaces associated to non-negative self-adjoint operators satisfying Davies-Gaffney estimates was developed recently by Hofmann et. al. [HLMMY]. Here, we use the definitions and characterizations of Hardy spaces $H^p_L(X)$ in [HLMMY] and [DL].

2.1 Hardy spaces $H^p_L(X)$ for $p \geq 1$

Let L be an operator which satisfies (**H1**) and (**H2**). Consider the following quadratic operators associated to L

$$S_{h,K}f(x) = \Big(\int_0^\infty \int_{d(x,y)<t} |(t^2L)^K e^{-t^2L} f(y)|^2 \frac{d\mu(y)}{V(x,t)} \frac{dt}{t}\Big)^{1/2}, \quad x \in X \tag{7}$$

where K is a positive integer and $f \in L^2(X)$. We shall write S_h in place of $S_{h,1}$. For each integer $K \geq 1$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, we now define

$$D_{K,p} = \Big\{ f \in L^2(X) : \ S_{h,K} f \in L^p(X) \Big\}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

Definition 2.1. *Let L be a non-negative self-adjoint operator on $L^2(X)$ satisfying the Davies-Gaffney condition (4).*

(i) For each $1 \leq p \leq 2$, the Hardy space $H^p_{L,S_h}(X)$ associated to L is the completion of the space $D_{1,p}$ in the norm

$$\|f\|_{H^p_{L,S_h}(X)} = \|S_h f\|_{L^p(X)}.$$

(ii) For each $2 < p < \infty$, the Hardy space $H^p_L(X)$ associated to L is the completion of the space $D_{K_0,p}$ in the norm

$$\|f\|_{H^p_{L,S_h}(X)} = \|S_{h,K_0} f\|_{L^p(X)}, \qquad K_0 = \Big[\frac{n}{2}\Big] + 1.$$

It can be verified that $H^2_{L,S_h}(X) = L^2(X)$ and the dual space of $H^p_{L,S_h}(X)$ is $H^{p'}_{L,S_h}(X)$ where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ (see Proposition 9.4 of [HLMMY]). If L satisfies (H1) and (H3), then it was proved in [AMR] that $H^p_L(X)$ and $L^p(X)$ coincide for all $p \in (1, \infty)$.

2.2 The atomic Hardy spaces $H^p_L(X)$ for $p \leq 1$

Let us describe the notion of a $(p, 2, M)$-*atom*, $0 < p \leq 1$, associated to operators on spaces (X, d, μ). In what follows, assume that

$$M \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad M > \frac{n(2-p)}{4p}, \tag{8}$$

where the parameter n, thought of as a measure of the dimension of the space X, is the smallest value for (2) holds. Let us denote by $\mathcal{D}(T)$ the domain of an operator T.

Definition 2.2. *A function $a(x) \in L^2(X)$ is called a $(p, 2, M)$-atom associated to an operator L if there exist a function $b \in \mathcal{D}(L^M)$ and a ball B of X such that*

(i) $a = L^M b$;

(ii) $\mathrm{supp} L^k b \subset B, \ k = 0, 1, \ldots, M$;

(iii) $||(r_B^2 L)^k b||_{L^2(X)} \leq r_B^{2M} V(B)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}, \ k = 0, 1, \ldots, M.$

In the case $\mu(X) < \infty$ the constant function having value $[\mu(X)]^{-\frac{1}{p}}$ is also considered to be an atom.

Definition 2.3. *Given* $0 < p \leq 1$ *and* $M > \frac{n(2-p)}{4p}$, *the atomic Hardy space* $H^p_{L,at,M}(X)$ *is defined as follows. We shall say that* $f = \sum \lambda_j a_j$ *is an atomic* $(p, 2, M)$*-representation if* $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \in l^p$, *each* a_j *is a* $(p, 2, M)$*-atom, and the sum converges in* $L^2(X)$. *Set*

$$\mathbb{H}^p_{L,at,M}(X) = \{f : f \text{ has an atomic } (p, 2, M)\text{-representation}\},$$

with the norm given by

$$||f||_{\mathbb{H}^p_{L,at,M}(X)} = \inf\{(\sum |\lambda_j|^p)^{1/2} : f = \sum \lambda_j a_j \text{ is an atomic } (p, 2, M)\text{-representation}\}$$

The space $H^p_{L,at,M}(X)$ *is then defined as the completion of* $\mathbb{H}^p_{L,at,M}(X)$ *with respect to the quasi-metric* d *defined by* $d(h, g) = ||h - g||_{\mathbb{H}^p_{L,at,M}(X)}$ *for all* $h, g \in \mathbb{H}^p_{L,at,M}(X)$.

In this case the mapping $h \to ||h||_{H^p_{L,at,M}(X)}, 0 < p < 1$ is not a norm and $d(h, g)) = ||h - g||_{H^p_{L,at,M}(X)}$ is a quasi-metric. For $p = 1$, the mapping $h \to ||h||_{H^1_{L,at,M}(X)}$ is a norm. A straightforward argument shows that $H^p_{L,at,M}(X)$ is complete. In particular, $H^1_{L,at,M}(X)$ is a Banach space. A basic result concerning these spaces is the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. *If an operator* L *satisfies conditions* $(H1)$ *and* $(H2)$, *then for every* $0 < p \leq 1$ *and for all integers* $M \in \mathbb{N}$ *with* $M > \frac{n(2-p)}{4p}$, *the spaces* $H^p_{L,at,M}(X)$ *coincide and their norms are equivalent.*

For the proof, we refer to Theorem 5.1 of [HLMMY] for $p = 1$, and Section 3 of [DL] for $p < 1$.
We next describe the notion of a $(p, 2, M, \epsilon)$-molecule associated to an operator L.

Definition 2.5. *Let* $0 < p \leq 1, 0 < \epsilon$ *and* $M \in \mathbb{N}$. *We say that a function* $\alpha \in L^2$ *is called a* $(p, 2, M, \epsilon)$*-molecule associated to* L *if there exist a function* $b \in D(L^M)$ *and a ball* B *such that*

- *(i)* $\alpha = L^M b$;
- *(ii) For every* $k = 0, 1, \ldots, M$ *and* $j = 0, 1, \ldots,$ *there holds*

$$\|(r_B^2 L)^k b\|_{L^2(S_j(B))} \leq r_B^{2M} 2^{-j\epsilon} V(2^j B)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Proposition 2.6. *Suppose* $0 < p \leq 1$ *and* $M > \frac{n(2-p)}{4p}$. *If* α *is a* $(p, 2, M, \epsilon)$*-molecule associated to* L, *then* $\alpha \in H^p_L(X)$. *Moreover,* $\|\alpha\|_{H^p_L(X)}$ *is independent of* m.

For the proof, we refer to [HLMMY] for $p = 1$, and [DL] for $p < 1$.

2.3 A characterization of $H^p_{L,at,M}(X)$ in terms of square functions

In Section 2.1,we introduced the Hardy spaces $H^p_{L,S_h}(X)$ for $p \geq 1$. Now consider the case $0 < p < 1$. The space $H^p_{L,S_h}(X)$ is defined as the completion of

$$\{f \in L^2(X) : ||S_h f||_{L^p(X)} < \infty\}$$

is the norms given by the L^p norm of the square function; i.e.,

$$||f||_{H^p_{L,S_h}(X)} = ||S_h f||_{L^p(X)}, 0 < p < 1.$$

Then the "square function" and "atomic" H^p spaces are equivalent, if the parameter $M > \frac{n(2-p)}{4p}$. In fact, we have the following result.

Proposition 2.7. *Suppose* $0 < p \leq 1$ *and* $M > \frac{n(2-p)}{4p}$*. Then we have* $H^p_{L,at,M} = H^p_{L,S_h}(X)$ *and their norms are equivalent.*

Proof: For the proof, see [DL].

Consequently, as in the next definition, one may write $H^p_{L,at}$ in place of $H^p_{L,at,M}$ when $M > \frac{n(2-p)}{4p}$. Precisely, we have the following definition.

Definition 2.8. *The Hardy space* $H^p_L(X), p \geq 1$*, is the space*

$$H^p_L(X) := H^p_{L,S_h}(X) := H^p_{L,at}(X) := H^p_{L,at,M}(X), M > \frac{n(2-p)}{4p}.$$

3 Boundedness of singular integral operators and their commutators

To prove that an operator T is bounded on Hardy space H^p_L which possesses an atomic decomposition, it is not enough in general to prove that Ta is uniformly bounded for all atomic functions a. However, if the operator T satisfies extra condition such as being L^2 bounded (or even the weaker condition of weak type $(2,2)$), then the uniform boundedness of Ta does imply the boundedness of T on $H^p_L(X)$. More precisely, we have the following result.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that* T *is a linear (resp. nonnegative sublinear) operator which maps* $L^2(X)$ *continuously into* $L^{2,\infty}(X)$*. If there exists, for* $0 < p \leq 1$*, a constant* C *such that*

$$||Ta||_{L^p} \leq C$$

for all $(p, 2, m)$*-atoms* $a \in H^p_L(X)$*, then* T *extends to a bounded linear (resp. sublinear) operator from* $H^p_L(X)$ *to* $L^p(X)$*.*

Proof: The proof of this proposition is standard. For the completeness, we provide the proof here.

Suppose that $f \in H^1_L(X)$ so that we may write $f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j a_j$ in L^2 sense where a_j are $(1, 2, m)$-atoms and $\sum_{j=1}^\infty |\lambda_j| \approx ||f||_{H^1_L}$. It suffices to show that $Tf \leq \sum_{j=1}^\infty |\lambda_j| Ta_j$.

If T is a linear operator, then from the fact that the sum $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda a_j$ converges in $L^2(X)$ and T is of weak type $(2, 2)$, we conclude that $Tf = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j T a_j$.

If T is a nonnegative sublinear operator, since T is of weak type $(2, 2)$ one has

$$\mu\Big\{x \in X : \Big|Tf - T\Big(\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j a_j\Big)\Big| > t\Big\} \leq \frac{C}{t^2}\Big|\Big|\sum_{j=N}^\infty \lambda_j a_j\Big|\Big|_{L^2(X)}.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \mu\Big\{x \in X : \Big|Tf - T\Big(\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j a_j\Big)\Big| > t\Big\} \leq C \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{t^2}\Big|\Big|\sum_{j=N}^\infty \lambda_j a_j\Big|\Big|_{L^2(X)} \to 0.$$

This implies that $T\Big(\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j a_j\Big) \to Tf$ a.e. as $N \to \infty$. Since T is a nonnegative sublinear operator, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Tf - \sum_{j=1}^\infty T(\lambda_j a_j) &= Tf - T\Big(\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j a_j\Big) + T\Big(\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j a_j\Big) - \sum_{j=1}^\infty T(\lambda_j a_j) \\ &\leq Tf - T\Big(\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j a_j\Big) \to 0, \text{ as } N \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $Tf \leq \sum_{j=1}^\infty T(\lambda_j a_j)$. The proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1.2: (i) By Proposition 3.1, it suffices to show that for any $(p, 2, m)$-atom a, for $m > \frac{n(2-p)}{4p}$, associated to the ball B, we have $||Ta||_{L^p} \leq C$.
Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X (Ta)^p d\mu(x) &= \sum_{j=0}^\infty \int_{S_j(B)} (Ta)^p d\mu(x) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^\infty K_j. \end{aligned}$$

By Jensen and Hölder inequalities and (6), one has, for each j,

$$\begin{aligned} K_j &\leq V(S_j(B))^{1-\frac{p}{2}} ||Ta||^p_{L^2(S_j(B))} \\ &\leq CV(2^j B)^{1-\frac{p}{2}} 2^{-2jmp} V(B)^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \\ &\leq C2^{j(n-\frac{np}{2})-2mp}. \end{aligned}$$

This together with $m > \frac{n(2-p)}{4p}$ give

$$\int_X (Ta)^p d\mu(x) \le C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j(n-\frac{np}{2})-2mp} \le C.$$

The proof of (i) is complete.

(ii) Suppose that $f \in H^1_L(X)$ so that we may write $f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j$ in L^2 sense where a_j are $(1, 2, m)$-atoms associated to balls B_j and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j| \approx ||f||_{H^1_L}$. It suffices to show that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\mu\{x \in M : |[b, T](\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j)| > \lambda\} \le \frac{c}{\lambda} \|f\|_{H^1_L} ||b||_{BMO}$$

Using the commutator technique as in [Pe], we can assume that $b \in L^\infty$. Setting $b_B = \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B b d\mu$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|[b, T]f(x)\Big| &= \Big|T((b(x) - b)f)(x)\Big| \\ &\le \Big|T((b(x) - b)(\sum_{j\ge 0} \lambda_j a_j))(x)\Big| \\ &\le \Big|T\Big[\sum_{j\ge 0} \lambda_j (b(x) - b_{B_j})a_j\Big](x)\Big| + \Big|T\Big[\sum_{j\ge 0} \lambda_j (b - b_{B_j})a_j\Big](x)\Big|\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we use that fact that both series $\sum_{j\ge0} \lambda_j (b(x) - b_{B_j})a_j$ and $\sum_{j\ge0} \lambda_j (b - b_{B_j})a_j$ converge in L^1.

At this stage, by the similar argument as in Proposition 3.1, we can write

$$\Big|T\Big[\sum_{j\ge 0} \lambda_j (b(x) - b_{B_j})a_j\Big](x)\Big| \le \sum_j |\lambda_j| \Big|[b(x) - b_{B_j}]Ta_j(x)\Big|.$$

This gives

$$\Big|[b, T]f(x)\Big| = \Big|T((b(x) - b)f)(x)\Big| \le \sum_j |\lambda_j| \Big|[b(x) - b_{B_j}]Ta_j(x)\Big| + \Big|T(\sum_j \lambda_j [b_{B_j} - b]a_j)(x)\Big|.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda\mu\{x \in M : |[b, T]f| > \lambda\} &\le \lambda\mu\{x \in M : \sum_j |\lambda_j||[b(x) - b_{B_j}]Ta_j(x)| > \lambda/2\} \\ &\quad + \lambda\mu\{x \in M : |T(\sum_j \lambda_j [b_{B_j} - b]a_j)(x)| > \lambda/2\} = E_1 + E_2.\end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate E_2 first. Since T is of weak type $(1,1)$, one has, by Hölder inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
E_2 &\leq C\sum_{j\geq 0}|\lambda_j|\int_M |[b_{B_j}-b(x)]a_j(x)|d\mu(x)\\
&\leq C\sum_{j\geq 0}|\lambda_j|\|(b_{B_j}-b)\|_{L^2(B_j)}\|a_j\|_{L^2(B_j)}\\
&\leq C\sum_{j\geq 0}|\lambda_j|\|b\|_{BMO}V(B_j)^{1/2}V(B_j)^{-1/2}\leq C\|f\|_{H^1_L}\|b\|_{BMO}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we proceed with the term E_1. Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1 &\leq C\sum_{j\geq 0}|\lambda_j|\int_X |[b(x)-b_{B_j}]Ta_j(x)|d\mu(x)\\
&= C\sum_{j\geq 0}|\lambda_j|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\int_{S_k(B_j)}|[b(x)-b_{B_j}]Ta_j(x)|d\mu(x)\\
&\leq C\sum_{j\geq 0}|\lambda_j|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\int_{S_k(B_j)}|[b(x)-b_{2^kB_j}]Ta_j(x)|d\mu(x)\\
&\quad + C\sum_{j\geq 0}|\lambda_j|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\int_{S_k(B_j)}|[b_{B_j}-b_{2^kB_j}]Ta_j(x)|d\mu(x).
\end{aligned}$$

By Hölder inequality, (6) and the fact that $|b_{B_j}-b_{2^kB_j}|\leq ck||b||_{BMO}$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{S_k(B_j)}|[b(x)-b_{2^kB_j}]Ta_j(x)|d\mu(x) &\leq C||[b(x)-b_{2^kB_j}||_{L^2(2^kB_j)}||Ta_j||_{L^2(S_k(B_j))}\\
&\leq CV(2^kB_j)^{1/2}||b||_{BMO}2^{-2mk}V(B_j)^{-1/2}\\
&\leq C2^{k(\frac{n}{2}-2m)}||b||_{BMO}.
\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{S_k(B_j)}|[b_{2^kB_j}-b_{B_j}]Ta_j|d\mu(x)\\
&\qquad\leq Cj\|b\|_{BMO}\int_{S_k(B_j)}|Ta_j|d\mu(x)\\
&\qquad\leq CkV(2^kB_j)^{1/2}\|b\|_{BMO}2^{-2mk}V(B_j)^{-1/2}\\
&\qquad\leq Ck2^{k(\frac{n}{2}-2m)}\|b\|_{BMO}.
\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

The estimates (9), (10) together with $m>\frac{n}{4}$ imply $E_1\leq C\sum_{j\geq 0}|\lambda_j|\|b\|_{BMO}\approx\|f\|_{H^1_L}\|b\|_{BMO}$. The proof of (ii) is complete.

Remark 3.2. *(a) In our approach, to obtain (6), we split*

$$Ta = T(I - e^{-r_B^2 L})^m a + T(I - (I - e^{-r_B^2 L})^m)a.$$

Observe that

$$I - (I - e^{-r_B^2 L})^m = \sum_{k=1}^{m} c_k e^{-kr_B^2 L},$$

where $c_k = (-1)^{k+1}\frac{m!}{(m-k)!k!}$. *Therefore,*

$$[I - (I - e^{-r_B^2 L})^m]a = \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k T\Big(r_B^2 L e^{-\frac{k}{m} r_B^2 L}\Big)^m (r_B^{-2m} b),$$

where $a = L^m b$ *and* $a_k = c_k\Big(\frac{k}{m}\Big)^m$.
Therefore, it is not difficult to see that the condition (6) holds if the following two estimates are satisfied:

$$\Big(\int_{S_j(B)} |T(I - e^{-r_B^2 L})^m f|^2 \, dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C 2^{-2jm}\Big(\int_B |f|^2\Big)^{1/2} \tag{11}$$

and

$$\Big(\int_{S_j(B)} |T(r_B^{2m} L^m e^{-kr_B^2 L})g|^2 \, dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C 2^{-2jm}\Big(\int_B |g|^2\Big)^{1/2} \tag{12}$$

for all integers $j \ge 2$, $k = 1, \cdots, m$ *and for all* f *and* g *with their supports contained in the ball* B.

(b) Theorem 1.2 still holds if the value 2^{-2jm} *in (6), (11) and (12) is replaced by* $2^{-2j\delta}$ *for some* $\delta > \frac{n(2-p)}{4p}$.

(c) The estimates in (11) and (12) do not hold without the terms $(I - e^{-r_B^2 L})^m$ *and* $r_B^{2m} L^m e^{-kr_B^2 L}$ *in the left hand sides, respectively. The effect of these terms is to make the kernels of* $T(I - e^{-r_B^2 L})^m$ *and* $T(r_B^{2m} L^m e^{-kr_B^2 L})$ *decay faster than the kernel of* T *when* x *is away from* y.

4 Commutators of BMO functions and Riesz transforms or square functions on doubling manifolds

Let X be a complete non-compact connected Riemannian manifold, μ the Riemannian measure, ∇ the Riemannian gradient. Denote by $|\cdot|$ the length in the tangent space, and by $||\cdot||_p$ the norm in $L^p(X, \mu), 1 \le p \le \infty$. For simplicity we will write $L^p(X)$ instead of $L^p(X,\mu)$. Let Δ be the Laplace-Beltrami operator. Denote by $B(x,r)$ the open ball of radius $r>0$ and center $x \in X$, and by $V(x,r)$ its measure $\mu(B(x,r))$. Throughout this section, assume that X satisfies the doubling property (1). It is well-known that the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ satisfies conditions $(H1)$ and $(H2)$. So let us denote the Hardy space associated to Δ by $H^1_\Delta(X)$.

Let us consider $T = \nabla\Delta^{-1/2}$, the Riesz transform on X, and take $b \in \mathrm{BMO}(X)$ (the space of functions of bounded mean oscillations on X). We define the commutator

$$[b,T]g = bTg - T(bg),$$

where g, b are scalar valued and $[b,T]g$ is valued in the tangent space. In [AM], it was proved that for any $b \in BMO(X)$, under the doubling condition and Gaussian upper bound for the heat kernel, the commutators $[b,T]$ is bounded on $L^p(X)$ with appropriate weights, for $1 < p < 2$. However, at the end-point value when $p=1$, no conclusion can be drawn from [AM].

Our following theorem gives the endpoint estimate for the commutator $[b,T]$ when $p=1$.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that X satisfies the doubling property (1) and $b \in$BMO(X). Then, Riesz transform $T = \nabla\Delta^{-1/2}$ is bounded from H^p_Δ to L^p, for all $0 < p \leq 1$. Moreover, if the Riesz transform $T = \nabla\Delta^{-1/2}$ is of weak type $(1,1)$ then the commutator $[b,T]$ maps $H^1_\Delta(X)$ continuously into $L^{1,\infty}(X)$.*

Proof: By a similar argument to the proof of Lemma 2.2 in [HMa], it can be verified that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, all closed sets E, F in X with $d(E,F) > 0$ and every $f \in L^2(X)$ supported in E, one has

$$||\nabla\Delta^{-1/2}(I - e^{-t\Delta})^m f||_{L^2(F)} \leq C\Big(\frac{t}{d(E,F)^2}\Big)^m ||f||_{L^2(E)}, \ \forall t > 0, \tag{13}$$

and

$$||\nabla\Delta^{-1/2}(t\Delta e^{-t\Delta})^m f||_{L^2(F)} \leq C\Big(\frac{t}{d(E,F)^2}\Big)^m ||f||_{L^2(E)}, \ \forall t > 0. \tag{14}$$

Obviously, (13) and (14) imply (11) and (12), respectively. Hence our results follow from Theorem 1.2.

Note that in (ii) of Theorem 1.2 we need the Riesz transform $T = \nabla\Delta^{-1/2}$ to be of weak type $(1,1)$ and this condition on the Riesz transform can be obtained from the assumptions of doubling condition and the Gaussian bound (H3). For reader's convenience, we recall the following result in [CD2].

Proposition 4.2 ([CD2])**.** *Assume that X satisfies the doubling property (1) and the kernels $p_t(x,y)$ of $e^{-t\Delta}$ have Gaussian upper bounds (H3). Then the Riesz transform $T = \nabla\Delta^{-1/2}$ is bounded on $L^p(X), 1 < p \leq 2$ and of weak type $(1,1)$.*

From Theorems 4.1 and Proposition 4.2 we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4.3. *Assume that X satisfies the doubling property (1), the kernels $p_t(x,y)$ of $e^{-t\Delta}$ have Gaussian upper bounds (H3) and $b \in BMO(X)$. Then the commutator $[b,T]$ maps $H^1_\Delta(X)$ continuously into $L^{1,\infty}(X)$.*

In [HLMMY], it was shown that under condition (H3), $H^1_{\Delta,1} = H^1_\Delta$ and $H^p_{\Delta,m} = L^p(X)$ for all $p > 1$ and $m \geq 1$, see also [AMR]. It implies that if T is a bounded operator on $L^p(X), p > 1$ and T maps $H^1_\Delta(X)$ continuously into $L^{1,\infty}(X)$ then by interpolation (Theorem 9.7 in [HLMMY]), T maps $H^q_{\Delta,m}(X)$ into $L^q(X)$ whenever $1 < q < p$, and hence T extends a bounded operator on $L^q(X)$ for all $1 < q < p$.

We say that X satisfies an L^2 Poincaré inequality on balls if there exists $C > 0$ such that for any ball $B \subset X$ and any function $f \in C^\infty(2B)$,

$$\int_B |f(x) - f_B|^2 dx \leq C r_B^2 \int_{2B} |\nabla f(x)|^2 dx, \tag{15}$$

where f_B denotes the mean-value of f on ball B and r_B the radius of B.

Proposition 4.4 ([AMR])**.** *Assume that X satisfies the doubling property (1) and Poincaré inequality (15) then the Hardy space H^1_Δ and the Coifman-Weiss Hardy space $H^1_{CW}(M)$ coincide.*

This Proposition and Corollary 4.3 show that

Corollary 4.5. *Assume that X satisfies the doubling property (1) and Poincaré inequality (15) and let $b \in BMO(X)$. Then the commutator $[b,T]$ maps $H^1_{CW}(X)$ continuously into $L^{1,\infty}(X)$.*

We now show that similar results hold when we replace the Riesz transforms of the Laplace-Beltrami operator by square functions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator. Consider the following versions of the square functions

$$\mathcal{G}f(x) := \Big(\int_0^\infty t|\nabla e^{-t\sqrt{\Delta}} f(x)|^2 dt \Big)^{1/2},$$

$$\mathcal{H}f(x) := \Big(\int_0^\infty |\nabla e^{-t\Delta} f(x)|^2 dt \Big)^{1/2},$$

$$gf(x) := \Big(\int_0^\infty t|\sqrt{\Delta} e^{-t\sqrt{\Delta}} f(x)|^2 dt \Big)^{1/2},$$

and

$$hf(x) := \Big(\int_0^\infty |\Delta e^{-t\Delta} f(x)|^2 dt \Big)^{1/2}.$$

By similar arguments used in Lemma 2.2 of [HMa], it can be verified that $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}, g$ and h satisfy (13) and (14) and hence they satisfy (11) and (12). For reader's convenience, we sketch the proof for only $\mathcal{H}$. The remainders are treated similarly.
The first ingredient is Davies-Gaffney estimates valid in a general Riemannian manifold, see [ACDH]: There exist two constants $C \geq 0$ and $c > 0$ such that, for every $t \geq 0$, every closed subsets E and F of M, and every function f supported in E, one has

$$\begin{aligned} ||e^{-t\Delta}f||_{L^2(F)} + ||t\Delta e^{-t\Delta}f||_{L^2(F)} + ||\sqrt{t}|\nabla e^{-t\Delta}f|||_{L^2(F)} \\ \leq C\exp\Big\{-\frac{d^2(E,F)}{t}\Big\}||f||_{L^2(E)}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Secondly, we recall the following result in [HMa].

Lemma 4.6. *If two families operators, $\{S_t\}_{t>0}$ and $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ satisfy Gaffney estimates (4). Then there exist two constants $C \geq 0$ and $c > 0$ such that, for every $t > 0$, every closed subsets E and F of X, and every function f supported in E, one has*

$$||S_sT_tf||_{L^2(F)} \leq C\exp\Big\{-\frac{d(E,F)^2}{c\max\{s,t\}}\Big\}||f||_{L^2(E)}.$$

We now return to show that $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies (13) and (14). Let us take care condition (13) first. Write

$$\begin{aligned} ||\mathcal{H}(I-e^{-t\Delta})^m f||_{L^2(F)} &:= \Big\|\Big(\int_0^\infty |\nabla e^{-s\Delta}(I-e^{-t\Delta})^m f|^2 ds\Big)^{1/2}\Big\|_{L^2(F)} \\ &\leq C\Big\|\Big(\int_0^\infty |\sqrt{s}\nabla e^{-s(m+1)\Delta}(I-e^{-t\Delta})^m f|^2\frac{ds}{s}\Big)^{1/2}\Big\|_{L^2(F)} \\ &\leq C\Big(\int_0^t \Big\|\sqrt{s}\nabla e^{-s(m+1)\Delta}(I-e^{-t\Delta})^m f\Big\|^2_{L^2(F)}\frac{ds}{s}\Big)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + C\Big(\int_t^\infty \Big\|\sqrt{s}\nabla e^{-s(m+1)\Delta}(I-e^{-t\Delta})^m f\Big\|^2_{L^2(F)}\frac{ds}{s}\Big)^{1/2} = I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

For the term I_1. We have

$$(I-e^{-t\Delta})^m = I + \sum_{k=1}^m c_k e^{-tk\Delta}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq C\Big(\int_0^t \Big\|\sqrt{s}\nabla e^{-s(m+1)\Delta}f\Big\|^2_{L^2(F)}\frac{ds}{s}\Big)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + C\sup_{1\leq k\leq m}\Big(\int_0^t \Big\|\sqrt{s}\nabla e^{-s(m+1)\Delta}e^{-tk\Delta}f\Big\|^2_{L^2(F)}\frac{ds}{s}\Big)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C\Big(\int_0^t \Big\|\sqrt{s}\nabla e^{-s(m+1)\Delta}f\Big\|^2_{L^2(F)}\frac{ds}{s}\Big)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + C\sup_{1\leq k\leq m}\Big(\int_0^t \Big\|\nabla e^{-s(m+1)\Delta}\sqrt{tk}e^{-tk\Delta}f\Big\|^2_{L^2(F)}\frac{ds}{t}\Big)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4.6 and (16), one has

$$I_1 \leq C\Big(\int_0^t \exp\Big\{-\frac{d(E,F)^2}{cs}\Big\}\frac{ds}{s}\Big)^{1/2}||f||_{L^2(E)}$$
$$+ C\sup_{1\leq k\leq m} C\Big(\exp\Big\{-\frac{d(E,F)^2}{ct}\Big\}\int_0^t\frac{ds}{t}\Big)^{1/2}||f||_{L^2(E)}.$$

It is not difficult to see that the expression above is bounded by $C\Big(\frac{t}{d(E,F)}\Big)^m||f||_{L^2(E)}$, as desired.

We proceed with the second term I_2. We have

$$I_2 \leq C\Big(\int_t^\infty \Big\|\sqrt{s}\nabla e^{-s\Delta}(e^{-s\Delta}-e^{-(s+t)\Delta})^m f\Big\|^2_{L^2(F)}\frac{ds}{s}\Big)^{1/2}. \tag{17}$$

It was observed in [HMa] that

$$\Big\|\frac{s}{t}(e^{-s\Delta}-e^{-(s+t)\Delta})g\Big\|^2_{L^2(F)} \leq C\exp\Big\{-\frac{d(E,F)^2}{cs}\Big\}||g||_{L^2(E)}.$$

Multiplying and dividing (17) by $\Big(\frac{s}{t}\Big)^{2m}$ and using Lemma 4.6 for $\sqrt{s}\nabla e^{-s\Delta}$ and m copies of $\frac{s}{t}(e^{-s\Delta}-e^{-(s+t)\Delta})$ to get

$$I_2 \leq C\Big(\int_t^\infty \exp\Big\{-\frac{d(E,F)^2}{cs}\Big\}\Big(\frac{t}{s}\Big)^{2m}\frac{ds}{s}\Big)^{1/2}||f||_{L^2(E)}.$$

Next, making a change of variables $r := \frac{d(E,F)^2}{cs}$, we can control the RHS of above expression by $C\Big(\frac{t}{d(E,F)}\Big)^m||f||_{L^2(E)}$, as desired.

This finishes the proof of (13) for $\mathcal{H}$. The proof for (14) is proceeded essentially the same path and hence we complete the proof.

Let us remind that under Gaussian condition (H3), $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}, g$ and h are of weak type $(1,1)$, see [CD3]. Hence the following result follows from Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 4.7. *(i) $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}, g$ and h are bounded from $H^p_\Delta(X)$ to $L^p(X)$ for any $0 < p \leq 1$.*

(ii) If the condition (H3) is satisfied, then the commutators of a BMO function b and $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}, g$ and h are bounded from H^1_Δ to $L^{1,\infty}$.

5 Commutators of BMO functions and Riesz transforms associated with magnetic Schrödinger operators

The approach in Section 4.1 can be used to obtain the boundedness of Riesz transforms of Schrödinger operators and their commutators but is not applicable in the case of magnetic

Schrödinger operators. Indeed, a different approach is needed for magnetic Schrödinger operators.

Consider magnetic Schrödinger operators in general setting as in [DOY]. Let the real vector potential $\vec{a} = (a_1, \cdots, a_n)$ satisfy

$$a_k \in L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n), \qquad \forall k = 1, \cdots, n, \tag{18}$$

and an electric potential V with

$$0 \le V \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{19}$$

Let $L_k = \partial/\partial x_k - ia_k$. We define the form Q by

$$Q(f,g) = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} L_k f \overline{L_k g}\, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V f \overline{g}\, dx$$

with domain $D(Q) = \mathcal{Q} \times \mathcal{Q}$ here

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), L_k f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ for } k = 1, \cdots, n \text{ and } \sqrt{V} f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \}.$$

It is well known that this symmetric form is closed and this form coincides with the minimal closure of the form given by the same expression but defined on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (the space of C^∞ functions with compact supports). See, for example [Si].

Let us denote by A the self-adjoint operator associated with Q. The domain of A is given by

$$\mathcal{D}(A) = \Big\{ f \in \mathcal{D}(Q), \exists g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ such that } Q(f,\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g \bar{\varphi} dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(Q) \Big\},$$

and A is given by the expression

$$Af = \sum_{k=1}^n L_k^* L_k f + Vf. \tag{20}$$

Formally, we write $A = -(\nabla - i\vec{a}) \cdot (\nabla - i\vec{a}) + V$. For $k = 1, \cdots, n$, the operators $L_k A^{-1/2}$ are called the Riesz transforms associated with A. It is easy to check that

$$\|L_k f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \|A^{1/2} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \qquad \forall f \in \mathcal{D}(Q) = \mathcal{D}(A^{1/2}) \tag{21}$$

for any $k = 1, \cdots, n$, and hence the operators $L_k A^{-1/2}$ are bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Note that this is also true for $V^{1/2} A^{-1/2}$. Moreover, it was recently proved in Theorem 1.1 of [DOY] that for each $k = 1, \cdots, n$, the Riesz transforms $L_k A^{-1/2}$ and $V^{1/2} A^{-1/2}$ are bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $1 < p \le 2$, i.e., there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ such that

$$\big\| V^{1/2} A^{-1/2} f \big\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sum_{k=1}^n \big\| L_k A^{-1/2} f \big\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{22}$$

for $1 < p \leq 2$.
The L^p-boundedness of Riesz transforms for the range $p > 2$ can be obtained if one imposes certain additional regularity conditions on the potential V, see for example [AB].

Let us remind the reader that

(i) In [DOY], the boundedness of the Riesz transforms $L_k A^{-1/2}$ and $V^{1/2} A^{-1/2}$ was proved for $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ spaces with $1 < p < 2$;

(ii) In [DY1], L^p boundedness of commutators of a BMO function and the Riesz transforms $L_k A^{-1/2}$ and $V^{1/2} A^{-1/2}$ was proved for the range $1 < p < 2$;

(iii) Recently, [A1] extended the results in [DOY] and [DY1] to weighted weak type $L^{1,\infty}$ estimates and weighted L^p estimates with an appropriate range of p (depending on the weight).

It is therefore an open question to consider the endpoint estimates for the commutators of the operators $L_k A^{-1/2}$ and $V^{1/2} A^{-1/2}$ and a BMO function b and the boundedness of the Riesz transforms $L_k A^{-1/2}$ and $V^{1/2} A^{-1/2}$ with the range $0 < p \leq 1$. Our aim in this section is to establish the end point estimates for the commutators of the Riesz transforms $L_k A^{-1/2}$ and $V^{1/2} A^{-1/2}$ and a BMO function b when $p = 1$ and the estimates for Riesz transforms $L_k A^{-1/2}$ and $V^{1/2} A^{-1/2}$ for $0 < p \leq 1$. Our main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *(i) The Riesz transforms $L_k A^{-1/2}$ and $V^{1/2} A^{-1/2}$ are bounded from H_A^p to L^p for all $0 < p \leq 1$.*

(ii) Let $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then the commutators $\Big[b, V^{1/2} A^{-1/2}\Big]$ and $\Big[b, L_k A^{-1/2}\Big]$ maps H_A^1 continuously into $L^{1,\infty}(X)$.

5.1 Some kernel estimates on heat semigroups

Let $A = -(\nabla - i\vec{a}) \cdot (\nabla - i\vec{a}) + V$ be the magnetic Schrödinger operator in (20). By the well known diamagnetic inequality (see, Theorem 2.3 of [Si] and [CFKS] for instance) we have the pointwise inequality

$$\big|e^{-tA} f(x)\big| \leq e^{t\triangle}\big(|f|\big)(x) \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

This inequality implies in particular that the semigroup e^{-tA} maps $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and that the kernel $p_t(x,y)$ of e^{-tA} satisfies

$$\big|p_t(x,y)\big| \leq (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\Big(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}\Big) \tag{23}$$

for all $t > 0$ and almost all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Note that A satisfies conditions $(H1)$ and $(H2)$. So, for $0 < p \leq 1$, we denote $H^p_A(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by the Hardy space associated to the operator A. Note that Gaussian upper bounds carry over from heat kernels to their time derivatives of its kernels. That is, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist two positive constants c_k and C_k such that the time derivatives of p_t satisfy

$$\left|\partial_t^k p_t(x,y)\right| \leq C_k t^{-(n+2k)/2} \exp\Big(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{c_k t}\Big) \tag{24}$$

for all $t > 0$ and almost all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For the proof of (24), see, for example, [CD1], [Da] and [Ou], Theorem 6.17.

We let $\widetilde{p}^k_t(x,y) = t^k(d^k/dt^k)p_t(x,y)$. In the sequel, we always use the notation $L_k\widetilde{p}^k_t(x,y)$ to mean $L^k\widetilde{p}^k_t(\cdot,y)(x)$.

5.2 The proof of main result

To prove the main result of this section, we need the following lemma which gives a weighted estimate for $L_k\widetilde{p}^k_t(x,y)$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $A = -(\nabla - i\vec{a})(\nabla - i\vec{a}) + V$ be the magnetic Schrödinger in (20). For each k and $\gamma > 0$, there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |V^{1/2}(x)\widetilde{p}^k_t(x,y)|^2 e^{\gamma\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}}\,dx + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |L_k\widetilde{p}^k_t(x,y)|^2 e^{\gamma\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}}\,dx \leq \frac{C}{t^{\frac{n+2}{2}}} \tag{25}$$

for all t and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

In [DOY], the authors proved for $k = 1$ and in the case when $k = 0$, the proof can be found in [A1]. We now adapt these estimates to prove (25).
Let ψ be a C^∞ function with compact support on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$. Consider

$$I_t(\psi) = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |L_k\widetilde{p}^k_t(x,y)|^2 e^{\gamma\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}}\psi(x)dx.$$

Using Lemma 2.5 in [Si], we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_t(\psi) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\Big(e^{-i\lambda_k}\widetilde{p}^k_t(x,y)\Big)\overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\Big(e^{-i\lambda_k}\widetilde{p}^k_t(x,y)\Big)}e^{\gamma\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}}\psi(x)dx \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\Big(e^{-i\lambda_k}\widetilde{p}^k_t(x,y)\Big)\overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\Big(e^{-i\lambda_k}p_t(x,y)e^{\gamma\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}}\psi(x)\Big)}dx \\ &\quad -\sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\Big(e^{-i\lambda_k}\widetilde{p}^k_t(x,y)\Big)\overline{e^{-i\lambda_k}\widetilde{p}^k_t(x,y)}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\Big(e^{\gamma\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}}\psi(x)\Big)dx \\ &= II_1 - II_2, \qquad\qquad \text{respectively.} \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

From the fact that ψ has compact support, we have

$$\widetilde{p}_t^k(\cdot, y)e^{\gamma\frac{|\cdot - y|^2}{t}}\psi(\cdot) \in \mathcal{D}(Q) \subset \mathcal{D}(L_k).$$

We can then write the first term II_1 as

$$II_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} L_k\widetilde{p}_t^k(x,y)\overline{L_k(\widetilde{p}_t^k(\cdot,y)e^{\gamma\frac{|\cdot-y|^2}{t}}\psi)(x)}dx.$$

Since $0 \leq V$ and $0 \leq \psi$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} II_1 &\leq Q(\widetilde{p}_t^k(\cdot, y),\ \widetilde{p}_t^k(\cdot,y)e^{\gamma\frac{|\cdot-y|^2}{t}}\psi) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A\widetilde{p}_t^k(x,y)\overline{\widetilde{p}_t^k(x,y)}e^{\gamma\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}}\psi(x)dx. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have $A\widetilde{p}_t^k(x,y) = t^k\frac{d^{k+1}}{dt^{k+1}}p_t(x,y)$. We then apply (24) to obtain

$$II_1 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{1}{t^{n/2+1}}e^{-c_2\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}}\frac{1}{t^{n/2}}e^{-c_1\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}}e^{\gamma\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}}\psi(x)dx.$$

Hence for any $\gamma < c_1$ there exists a constant $c > 0$ independent of ψ such that

$$II_1 \leq \frac{c}{t^{n/2+1}}, \tag{27}$$

since $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$.
Next, we rewrite the term II_2 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} II_2 &= \sum_{k=1}^{n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\lambda_k}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\Big(e^{-i\lambda_k}\widetilde{p}_t^k(x,y)\Big)\overline{p_t}(x,y)e^{\gamma\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}} \\ &\qquad\times\Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\psi(x) + \frac{2\beta(x_k-y_k)}{t}\psi(x)\Big]dx. \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} II_2 &= \sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{c}{\sqrt{t}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|L_k\widetilde{p}_t^k(x,y)||p_t(x,y)|e^{2\gamma\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}}\psi(x)dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|L_k\widetilde{p}_t^k(x,y)||\widetilde{p}_t^k(x,y)|e^{\gamma\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}}\Big|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\psi(x)\Big|dx \\ &= J_1(\psi) + J_2(\psi). \end{aligned}$$

Then by (24) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(\psi) &\leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{t}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}t^{-n}e^{3\beta-2c_1\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}}dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|L_kp_t(x,y)|^2e^{\gamma\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}}\psi(x)dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{t^{n/2+1}}}\sqrt{I_t(\psi)}, \end{aligned}$$

provided $\beta < \frac{2c_1}{3}$.
Using this estimate and (27), we have

$$I_t(\psi) \leq c\Big(\frac{1}{t^{n/2+1}} + J_2(\psi)\Big), \tag{28}$$

where c is a constant independent on ψ.
Now apply (28) with $\psi_j(x) = \psi(x/j)$, where ψ is a function such that $\psi(x) = 1$ for all x with $|x| \leq 1$. It is not difficult to show that $\lim\limits_{j\to\infty} J_2(\psi_j) = 0$. Then apply Fatou's lemma to (28) with ψ_j we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |L_k \widetilde{p}_t^k(x,y)|^2 e^{\gamma\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}} dx \leq \frac{c}{t^{n/2+1}}.$$

For the estimate of $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |V^{1/2}(x)\widetilde{p}_t^k(x,y)|^2 e^{\gamma\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}} dx$, we note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |V^{1/2}(x)\widetilde{p}_t^k(x,y)|^2 e^{\gamma\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}} \psi(x) dx = Q(\widetilde{p}_t^k(\cdot,y), \widetilde{p}_t^k(\cdot,y) e^{\gamma\frac{|\cdot-y|^2}{t}}\psi) - II_1.$$

From the estimates of both terms, we obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |V^{1/2}(x)\widetilde{p}_t^k(x,y)|^2 e^{\gamma\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}} \psi(x) dx \leq \frac{c}{t^{n/2+1}}.$$

At this stage, repeating the above argument, one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |V^{1/2}(x)\widetilde{p}_t^k(x,y)|^2 e^{\gamma\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}} dx \leq \frac{c}{t^{n/2+1}}.$$

This finishes our proof.

The following proposition gives an estimate for the operators $L_k A^{-1/2}$ and $V^{1/2}A^{-1/2}$ which will be useful for the proof of Theorem 5.1

Proposition 5.3. *For all $m \geq 1$ there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $j \geq 2$, all ball B and all $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with support in B*

$$\Big(\int_{S_j(B)} |V^{1/2}A^{-1/2}(I - e^{-r_B^2 A})^m f|^2 \; dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \; 2^{-2j(m-1)}\Big(\int_B |f|^2\Big)^{1/2} \tag{29}$$

and for all k,

$$\Big(\int_{S_j(B)} |L_k A^{-1/2}(I - e^{-r_B^2 A})^m f|^2 \; dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \; 2^{-2j(m-1)}\Big(\int_B |f|^2\Big)^{1/2}. \tag{30}$$

Proof: We need only to prove (30), the inequality (29) will be treated by a similar argument.

We will adapt arguments used in [ACDH] (see also [A1]) to our situation. Fix a ball B with radius r_B and $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ supported in B. Observe that

$$A^{-1/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-tA} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}}$$

We have

$$L_k A^{-1/2}(I - e^{-r_B^2 A})^m f = c \int_0^\infty L_k e^{-tA}(I - e^{-r_B^2 A})^m \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}} = c \int_0^\infty g_r(t) L_k e^{-tA} dt,$$

and $g_r : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that

$$\int_0^\infty |g_r(t)| e^{\frac{-c4^j r_B^2}{t}} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}} \leq C_m 4^{-jm},$$

see [ACDH, p.932].

Therefore the composite operator $L_k A^{-1/2}(I - e^{-r_B^2 A})^m$ has an associated kernel $\mathcal{K}_{s,k}(y,z)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{K}_{s,k}(y,z) = c \int_0^\infty g_r(t) L_k p_s(y,z) dt.$$

By invoking Lemma 5.2,

$$\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |L_k p_t(x,y)|^2 e^{\gamma \frac{|x-y|^2}{t}}\, dx \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{C}{t^{\frac{n+2}{4}}}$$

for all $t > 0$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and some $\gamma > 0$. This implies that for all $j > 0, y \in B$ and all $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Big(\int_{S_j(B)} |L_k p_t(x,y)|^2 \, dx \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}} e^{\frac{-c4^j r_B^2}{t}} \frac{|2^j B|^{1/2}}{t^{\frac{n}{4}}} \frac{1}{|2^j B|^{1/2}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}} e^{\frac{-c4^j r_B^2}{t}} \Big(\frac{4^j r_B^2}{t} \Big)^{\frac{n}{4}} \frac{1}{|2^j B|^{1/2}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}} e^{\frac{-\alpha 4^j r_B^2}{t}} \frac{1}{|2^j B|^{1/2}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}} e^{\frac{-\alpha 4^j r_B^2}{t}} \frac{1}{|B|^{1/2}} \end{aligned}$$

for some $\alpha < c$ (in the last inequality we use the fact that $s^b e^{-cs} < C e^{-\alpha s}$ for all $s > 0$ and $\alpha < c$).

Using Minkowski's inequality we obtain that the LHS of (30) is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty |g_r(t)| \int |f(y)| \Big(\int_{S_j(B)} |L_k p_s(x,y)|^2 \Big)^{1/2} dy dt \\ &\le C \frac{1}{|B|^{1/2}} \int_0^\infty |g_r(t)| e^{\frac{-\alpha 4^j r_B^2}{t}} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}} \Big(\int_B |f(y)| dy \Big) \\ &\le C 4^{-mj} \frac{1}{|B|^{1/2}} \int_B |f| \\ &\le C 4^{-mj} \Big(\int_B |f|^2 \Big)^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

Proposition 5.4. *For all $m \ge 1$ and $t \ge 0$ there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $j \ge 2$, all ball B, $\frac{1}{m} r_B^2 \le t \le r_B^2$ and all f with support in B*

$$\Big(\int_{S_j(B)} |V^{1/2} A^{-1/2} (tAe^{-tA})^m f|^2 \, dx \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C 2^{-2jm} \Big(\int_B |f|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \tag{31}$$

and for all k,

$$\Big(\int_{S_j(B)} |L_k A^{-1/2} (tAe^{-tA})^m f|^2 \, dx \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C 2^{-2jm} \Big(\int_B |f|^2 \Big)^{1/2}. \tag{32}$$

Proof: Firstly, observe that

$$L_k A^{-1/2} f = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty L_k e^{-sA} f \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}} = \frac{m}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty L_k e^{-msA} f \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}}.$$

So, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\int_{S_j(B)} |L_k A^{-1/2} (tAe^{-tA})^m f|^2 \, dx \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= C \Big(\int_{S_j(B)} \Big| \int_0^\infty L_k e^{-msA} (tAe^{-tA})^m f(y) dy \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}} \Big|^2 \, dx \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= C \Big(\int_{S_j(B)} \Big| \int_0^\infty \int_B \Big(\frac{t}{t+s} \Big)^m L_k \widetilde{p}^m_{m(t+s)}(x,y) f(y) dy \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}} \Big|^2 \, dx \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\end{aligned}$$

By Minkowski's inequality and Lemma 5.2,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\int_{S_j(B)} |L_kA^{-1/2}(tAe^{-tA})^m f|^2\, dx\Big)^{\frac12}\\
&\qquad \le C\int_0^\infty \Big(\frac{t}{t+s}\Big)^m \int_B f(y)\Big(\int_{S_j(B)} \Big|L_k\widetilde{p}^m_{m(t+s)}(x,y)\Big|^2\, dx\Big)^{\frac12} dy\frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}}\\
&\qquad \le C\int_0^\infty \Big(\frac{t}{t+s}\Big)^m \exp\Big\{-c\frac{d^2(S_j(B),B)}{t+s}\Big\}\frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}(t+s)^{\frac{n+2}{4}}}\int_B f(y)dy\\
&\qquad \le C\int_0^\infty \Big(\frac{t}{t+s}\Big)^m \exp\Big\{-c\frac{4^jr_B^2}{t+s}\Big\}\frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}(t+s)^{\frac{n+2}{4}}}r_B^{n/2}||f||_{L^2(B)}\\
&\qquad \le C||f||_{L^2(B)}\Big(\int_0^t\dots+\int_t^\infty\dots\Big)=C||f||_{L^2(B)}(I+II).
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us estimate I first. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t \Big(\frac{t}{t+s}\Big)^m \exp\Big\{-c\frac{4^jr_B^2}{t+s}\Big\}\Big(\frac{r_B^2}{t+s}\Big)^{\frac{n+2}{4}}\frac{ds}{r_B\sqrt{s}}\\
&\qquad\qquad \le C\int_0^t \Big(\frac{t}{t+s}\Big)^m\Big(\frac{t+s}{4^jr_B^2}\Big)^m\Big(\frac{r_B^2}{t}\Big)^{\frac{n+2}{4}}\frac{ds}{r_B\sqrt{s}}\\
&\qquad\qquad \le C\int_0^t \Big(\frac{t}{4^jr_B^2}\Big)^m\frac{ds}{r_B\sqrt{s}}\\
&\qquad\qquad \le C4^{-jm}.
\end{aligned}
$$

For the second term II. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_t^\infty \Big(\frac{t}{t+s}\Big)^m \exp\Big\{-c\frac{4^jr_B^2}{t+s}\Big\}\Big(\frac{r_B^2}{t+s}\Big)^{\frac{n+2}{4}}\frac{ds}{r_B\sqrt{s}}\\
&\qquad\qquad \le C\int_t^\infty \Big(\frac{t}{t+s}\Big)^m\Big(\frac{t+s}{4^jr_B^2}\Big)^{m-1}\Big(\frac{r_B^2}{t}\Big)^{\frac{n+2}{4}}\frac{ds}{r_B\sqrt{s}}\\
&\qquad\qquad \le C4^{-j(m-1)}\int_t^\infty \Big(\frac{t}{r_B^2}\Big)^m\frac{r_Bds}{s\sqrt{s}}\\
&\qquad\qquad \le C4^{-j(m-1)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorem 5.1: Firstly, it can be proved that the Riesz transforms $L_kA^{-1/2}$ and $V^{1/2}A^{-1/2}$ are of weak type $(1,1)$, see [DOY, p. 273]. So, combining Propositions 5.3 and 5.4 together with Theorem 1.2 and Remark 3.2 (b), Theorem 5.1 is obtained.

6 Holomorphic functional calculi and spectral multipliers

6.1 Preliminaries on holomorphic functional calculus

We now give some preliminary definitions of holomorphic functional calculi as introduced by A. McIntosh [M].

Let $0 \le \omega < \nu < \pi$. We define the closed sector in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$

$$S_\omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg z| \le \omega\}$$

and denote the interior of S_ω by S_ω^0. We employ the following subspaces of the space $H(S_\nu^0)$ of all holomorphic functions on S_ν^0:

$$H_\infty(S_\nu^0) = \{g \in H(S_\nu^0) : ||g||_\infty < \infty\},$$

where $||g||_\infty = \sup\{|g(z)| : z \in S_\nu^0\}$, and

$$\Psi(S_\nu^0) = \{\psi \in H(S_\nu^0) : \exists s > 0, |\psi(z)| \le c|z|^s(1 + |z|^{2s+1})^{-1}\}.$$

Let $0 \le \omega < \pi$. A closed operator L in $L^2(X)$ is said to be of type ω if $\sigma(L) \subset S_\omega$, and for each $\nu > \omega$ there exists a constant c_ν such that

$$||(L - \lambda I)^{-1}|| \le c_\nu |\lambda|^{-1}, \lambda \notin S_\nu.$$

If L is of type ω and $\psi \in \Psi(S_\nu^0)$, we define $\psi(L) \in \mathcal{L}(L^2, L^2)$ by

$$\psi(L) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma (L - \lambda I)^{-1}\psi(\lambda)d\lambda,$$

where Γ is the contour $\{\xi = re^{\pm i\xi} : r > 0\}$ parametrized clockwise around S_ω, and $\omega < \xi < \nu$. Clearly, this integral is absolutely convergent in $\mathcal{L}(L^2, L^2)$, and it is straightforward to show, using Cauchy's theorem, that the definition is independent of the choice of $\xi \in (\omega, \nu)$. If, in addition, L is one-one and has dense range and if $\phi \in H_\infty(S_\nu^0)$, then $\phi(L)$ can be defined by

$$\phi(L) = [\psi(L)]^{-1}(\phi\psi)(L),$$

where $\psi(z) = z(1 + z)^{-2}$. It can be shown that $\phi(L)$ is a well-defined linear operator in L^2. We say that L has a bounded H_∞ calculus in L^2 if there exists $c_{\nu,2} > 0$ such that $\phi(L) \in \mathcal{L}(L^2, L^2)$, and for $\phi \in H_\infty(S_\nu^0)$,

$$||\phi(L)|| \le c_{\nu,2}||\phi||_\infty.$$

In [M] it was proved that L has a bounded H_∞-calculus in L^2 if and only if for any non-zero function $\psi \in \Psi(S_\nu^0)$, L satisfies the square function estimate and its reverse

$$c_1||f||_2 \le \Big(\int_0^\infty ||\psi_t(L)f||_2^2 \frac{dt}{t}\Big)^{1/2} \le c_2||f||_2 \tag{33}$$

for some $0 < c_1 \le c_2 < \infty$, where $\psi_t(x) = \psi(tx)$. Note that different choices of $\nu > \omega$ and $\psi \in \Psi(S^0_\nu)$ lead to equivalent quadratic norms of f. As noted in [M], positive self-adjoint operators satisfy the quadratic estimate (33). So do normal operators with spectra in a sector, and maximal accretive operators. For definitions of these classes of operators, we refer the reader to [Yo]. For detailed studies of operators which have bounded holomorphic functional calculi, see for example [M], [DR] and [AHS].

6.2 Application to holomorphic functional calculus

We first show that holomorphic functional calculi satisfy the estimates in Remark 3.2.

Proposition 6.1. *Assume that L satisfies (H1) and (H2). Let $0 < \nu < \pi$. Then for any $g \in H_\infty(S^0_\nu)$ $m \in \mathbb{N}$, all closed sets E, F in X with $d(E,F) > 0$ and any $f \in L^2(X)$ supported in E, one has*

$$\begin{aligned} &||g(L)(I - e^{-tL})^m f||_{L^2(F)} \\ &\qquad \le C \max\Big\{ \Big(\frac{t}{d(E,F)^2}\Big)^{m-1}, \Big(\frac{t}{d(E,F)^2}\Big)^{m+1} \Big\} ||f||_{L^2(E)} ||g||_\infty, \ \forall t > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &||g(L)(tLe^{-tL})^m f||_{L^2(F)} \\ &\qquad \le C \max\Big\{ \Big(\frac{t}{d(E,F)^2}\Big)^{m-1}, \Big(\frac{t}{d(E,F)^2}\Big)^{m+1} \Big\} ||f||_{L^2(E)} ||g||_\infty, \ \forall t > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Before giving the proof of Proposition 6.1, we state the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *Assume that L satisfies conditions (H1) and (H2). Then for any $z = re^{i\theta}$ with $\theta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$, all closed sets E, F in X with $d(E,F) > 0$ and any $f \in L^2(X)$ supported in E, one has*

$$\Big\| e^{-zL} f \Big\|_{L^2(F)} \le C \exp\Big\{ -\frac{d(E,F)^2}{|z|} \cos\theta \Big\} ||f||_{L^2(E)}.$$

The proof is similar to one in Proposition 3.1 of [HLMMY] and hence we omit detail here.

Proof of Proposition 6.1: We prove the estimate (34) first. We define

$$g_{s,t}(z) = z^s (1+z)^{-2s} g(z)(1 - e^{-tz})^m.$$

Then $g_{s,t} \in \Psi(S^0_\nu)$. Moreover, $\lim_{s\to 0} g_{s,t}(z) = g(z)(1 - e^{-tz})^m$ uniformly in any compact set in S^0_ν. Therefore, by the Convergence Theorem in [M],

$$\lim_{s \to 0} g_{s,t}(L) f = g(L)(1 - e^{-tL})^m f \tag{36}$$

in $L^2(X)$ norm for every $f \in L^2(X)$.
Choose $0<\theta<\mu<\nu$ and $\mu<\frac{\pi}{2}$. On one hand, we have

$$g_{s,t}(L)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\gamma (L-\lambda I)^{-1}\frac{\lambda^s}{(1+\lambda)^{2s}}g(\lambda)(1-e^{-t\lambda})^m d\lambda,$$

here $\gamma=\gamma_+ + \gamma_-$, where $\gamma_+(t)=te^{i\mu}$ if $0\le t<\infty$ and $\gamma_-(t)=-te^{-i\mu}$ if $0\le t<\infty$ with $0<\mu<\nu$. On the other hand, we have

$$(L-\lambda I)^{-1}=\int_\Gamma e^{\lambda z}e^{-zL}dz,$$

here $\Gamma=\Gamma_+ + \Gamma_-$, where $\Gamma_+(t)=te^{i\beta}$ if $0\le t<\infty$ and $\gamma_-(t)=-te^{-i\beta}$ if $0\le t<\infty$ with $\beta=\frac{\pi-\theta}{2}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
||g_{s,t}(L)f||_{L^2(F)} \le & \Big\| \int_{\Gamma_+} e^{-zL}f\int_{\gamma_+}\frac{\lambda^s}{(1+\lambda)^{2s}}g(\lambda)e^{\lambda z}(1-e^{-t\lambda})^m d\lambda dz\Big\|_{L^2(F)}\\
&+\Big\|\int_{\Gamma_-} e^{-zL}f\int_{\gamma_-}\frac{\lambda^s}{(1+\lambda)^{-2s}}g(\lambda)e^{\lambda z}(1-e^{-t\lambda})^m d\lambda dz\Big\|_{L^2(F)}\\
&= I_1+I_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate I_1 first. Observe that, by Lemma 6.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\le C\int_{\Gamma_+}\Big\|e^{-zL}f\Big\|_{L^2(F)}\int_{\gamma_+}\frac{\lambda^s}{(1+\lambda)^{2s}}g(\lambda)e^{\lambda z}(1-e^{-t\lambda})^m d\lambda dz\\
&\le C\|f\|_{L^2(E)}\int_0^\infty \exp\Big\{-c\frac{d(E,F)^2}{|z|}\cos\beta\Big\}\int_0^\infty ||g(\lambda)||_\infty |e^{\lambda z}(1-e^{-t\lambda})^m|d|\lambda|d|z|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu<\pi/2$, we obtain $|(1-e^{-t\lambda})^m|\le c(t|\lambda|)^m$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\le C\|f\|_{L^2(E)}||g(\lambda)||_\infty\int_0^\infty \exp\Big\{-c\frac{d(E,F)^2}{|z|}\Big\}\int_0^\infty e^{-c_1|\lambda||z|}(t|\lambda|)^m d|\lambda|d|z|\\
&\le C\|f\|_{L^2(E)}||g(\lambda)||_\infty\int_0^\infty \exp\Big\{-c\frac{d(E,F)^2}{|z|}\Big\}\frac{t^m}{|z|^{m+1}}d|z|\\
&\le C\|f\|_{L^2(E)}||g(\lambda)||_\infty\Big(\int_0^t\ldots+\int_t^\infty\ldots\Big)=C\|f\|_{L^2(E)}||g(\lambda)||_\infty\Big(I_{11}+I_{12}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

For the term I_{11}, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{11} &= \int_0^t \exp\Big\{-c\frac{d(E,F)^2}{|z|}\Big\}\frac{t^m}{|z|^{m+1}}d|z|\\
&\le \int_0^t\Big(\frac{|z|}{d(E,F)^2}\Big)^{m+1}\frac{t^m}{|z|^{m+1}}d|z| = \Big(\frac{t}{d(E,F)^2}\Big)^{m+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the term I_{11}, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{12} &= \int_t^\infty \exp\Big\{-c\frac{d(E,F)^2}{|z|}\Big\}\frac{t^m}{|z|^{m+1}}d|z| \\
&\le \int_t^\infty \Big(\frac{|z|}{d(E,F)^2}\Big)^{m-1}\frac{t^m}{|z|^{m+1}}d|z| = \Big(\frac{t}{d(E,F)^2}\Big)^{m-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The remainder I_2 can be treated by the same way. These estimates together with (36) give (34).

We proceed to prove (35). Repeating the arguments above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
||g(L)(tLe^{-tL})^m f||_{L^2(F)} \le & \Big\| \int_{\Gamma_+} e^{-zL} f \int_{\gamma_+} g(\lambda)e^{\lambda z}(t\lambda e^{-t\lambda})^m d\lambda dz \Big\|_{L^2(F)} \\
& + \Big\| \int_{\Gamma_-} e^{-zL} f \int_{\gamma_-} g(\lambda)e^{\lambda z}(t\lambda e^{-t\lambda})^m d\lambda dz \Big\|_{L^2(F)} \\
& = II_1 + II_2.
\end{aligned}$$

We need only estimate II_1. The estimate for II_2 is proved similarly. One has,

$$\begin{aligned}
II_1 &\le C\int_{\Gamma_+} \Big\|e^{-zL}f\Big\|_{L^2(F)} \int_{\gamma_+} g(\lambda)e^{\lambda z}(t\lambda e^{-t\lambda})^m d\lambda dz \\
&\le C\|f\|_{L^2(E)} \int_0^\infty \exp\Big\{-c\frac{d(E,F)^2}{|z|}\cos\beta\Big\} \int_0^\infty ||g(\lambda)||_\infty |e^{\lambda z}(t\lambda e^{-t\lambda})^m| d|\lambda| d|z| \\
&\le C\|f\|_{L^2(E)} \int_0^\infty \exp\Big\{-c\frac{d(E,F)^2}{|z|}\Big\} \int_0^\infty ||g(\lambda)||_\infty e^{-|\lambda|(c_1|z|+c_2t)}(t|\lambda|)^m d|\lambda| d|z| \\
&\le C\|f\|_{L^2(E)}||g(\lambda)||_\infty \int_0^\infty \exp\Big\{-c\frac{d(E,F)^2}{|z|}\Big\}\frac{t^m}{(c_1|z|+c_2t)^{m+1}}d|z| \\
&\le C\|f\|_{L^2(E)}||g(\lambda)||_\infty \Big(\int_0^t \ldots + \int_t^\infty \ldots\Big) = C\|f\|_{L^2(E)}||g(\lambda)||_\infty \Big(II_{11} + II_{12}\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

For the term II_{11},

$$\begin{aligned}
II_{11} &= \int_0^t \exp\Big\{-c\frac{d(E,F)^2}{|z|}\Big\}\frac{t^m}{(c_1|z|+c_2t)^{m+1}}d|z| \\
&\le C\int_0^t \Big(\frac{|z|}{d(E,F)^2}\Big)^m \frac{t^m}{|z|^{m+1}}d|z| = C\Big(\frac{t}{d(E,F)^2}\Big)^{m+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The remaining term II_{12} is dominated by

$$C\int_0^\infty \Big(\frac{|z|}{d(E,F)^2}\Big)^{m-1}\frac{t^m}{z^{m+1}}d|z| = C\Big(\frac{t}{d(E,F)^2}\Big)^{m-1}.$$

Note that from Proposition 6.1, $g(L)$ satisfies (11) and (12) with m being replaced by $m-1$. Moreover, if the Gaussian upper bound condition (H3) is satisfied then $g(L)$ is of weak type $(1,1)$, see [DM]. Hence we obtain the following result.

Theorem 6.3. *Assume that L satisfies conditions $(H1)$ and $(H2)$. Let $g \in H_\infty(S_\nu^0)$. Then $g(L)$ is bounded from H_L^p to L^p for all $0 < p \leq 1$. Moreover, if the Gaussian upper bound condition (H3) is satisfied then the commutator of a BMO function b and $g(L)$ is bounded from H_L^1 to $L^{1,\infty}$.*

Remark 6.4. *We can obtain the following estimate which is sharper than (35):*

$$||g(L)(tLe^{-tL})^m f||_{L^2(F)} \leq C\Big(\frac{t}{d(E,F)^2}\Big)^m ||f||_{L^2(E)}||g||_\infty, \ \forall t > 0. \tag{37}$$

See for example [AL]. We would like to remark that the estimate (37) guarantees the boundedness for the functional calculus $g(L)$ from H_L^p to H_L^p and hence $g(L)$ is bounded from H_L^p to L^p, see [AL, DL]. In this paper, we obtain the $H_L^p - L^p$ boundedness of $g(L)$ and the main result of this Section is the endpoint estimate of the commutator $[b, g(L)]$ where b is a BMO function.

6.3 Application to spectral multipliers

Assume that L satisfies conditions $(H1)$. Let

$$F(L)f = \int_0^\infty F(\lambda)dE_L(\lambda)f$$

be the spectral multiplier $F(L)$ defined by using the spectral resolution of L.
Our main result on spectral multipliers is the following.

Proposition 6.5. *Assume that L satisfies conditions $(H1)$ and $(H2)$. Let F be a bounded function defined on $(0,\infty)$ such that for some real number $\alpha > \frac{n(2-p)}{2p} + \frac{1}{2}$ and any non-zero function $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\frac{1}{2}, 2)$ there exists a constant C_η such that*

$$\sup_{t>0} \|\eta(\cdot)F(t\cdot)\|_{W^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_\eta \tag{38}$$

where $\|F\|_{W^{q,\alpha}(\mathbb{R})} = \|(I - d^2/dx^2)^{\alpha/2}F\|_{L^q}$. Then the multiplier operator satisfies the following estimate

$$\Big(\int_{S_j(B)} |F(\sqrt{L})a|^2 dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C2^{-j\delta}V(B)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}} \tag{39}$$

for some $\delta > \frac{n(2-p)}{4p}$, for any $(p,2,m)$-atom a supported in B and sufficiently large m.

Before giving the proof we state the following result in [DP].

Lemma 6.6. *Let $\gamma > 1/2$ and $\beta > 0$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every function $F \in W^{2,\gamma+\beta/2}$ and every function $g \in L^2(X)$ supported in the ball B, we have*

$$\int_{d(x,x_B)>2r_B} |F(2^j\sqrt{L})g(x)|^2 \Big(\frac{d(x,x_B)}{r_B}\Big)^\beta d\mu(x) \leq C(r_B 2^j)^{-\beta}\|F\|^2_{W^{2,\gamma+\beta/2}}\|g\|^2_{L^2}$$

for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof of Proposition 6.5: Obviously, since $F(\sqrt{L})$ is bounded on $L^2(X)$, (39) holds for $j = 0$. For $j > 1$, we will exploit some ideas in [DP] to our situation.
Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and $\gamma > 1/2$ such that $\gamma+\epsilon+\frac{n(2-p)}{2p} = \alpha$. Set $\beta = \frac{n(2-p)}{p}+2\epsilon$. Then $\gamma+\beta/2 = \alpha$.
Let $a = L^m b$ be a $(p, 2, m)$-atom supported in B with $m > \beta/4$ and $j_0 = -\log_2 r_B$.
Fix a function $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\frac{1}{2}, 2)$ such that

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \phi(2^{-j}\lambda) = 1 \text{ for } \lambda > 0.$$

Then, for $0 \le l \le M$, one has

$$F(\sqrt{L})a = \sum_{j\ge j_0} \phi(2^{-j}\sqrt{L})F(\sqrt{L})a + \sum_{j<j_0} \phi(2^{-j}\sqrt{L})L^m F(\sqrt{L})b.$$

Recall that by definition of $(p, 2, m)$ atoms,

$$\|a\|_{L^2} \le V(B)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}} \text{ and } \|b\|_{L^2} \le r_B^{2m} V(B)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Set

$$F_j(\lambda) = \begin{cases} F(2^j\lambda)\phi(\lambda) & , j \ge j_0 \\ 2^{2mj}F(2^j\lambda)\lambda^m\phi(\lambda) & , j < j_0. \end{cases}$$

and extend F_j to the even function. Obviously,

$$\|F_j\|_{W^{2,\alpha}} \le \begin{cases} C & , j \ge j_0 \\ C2^{2mj} & , j < j_0. \end{cases}$$

Applying Lemma 6.6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big(\int_{S_j(B)} |F(\sqrt{L})a\Big(\frac{d(x, x_B)}{r_B}\Big)^\beta d\mu(x)\Big)^{1/2} \\ &\qquad \le C\sum_{j\ge j_0}(r_B 2^j)^{-\beta/2}\|F_j\|_{W^{2,\alpha}}\|a\|_{L^2} + C\sum_{j<j_0}(r_B 2^j)^{-\beta/2}\|F_j\|_{W^{2,\alpha}}\|b\|_{L^2} \\ &\qquad \le C[\sum_{j\ge j_0}(r_B 2^j)^{-\beta/2} + \sum_{j<j_0}(r_B 2^j)^{2m-\beta/2}]V(B)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\qquad \le CV(B)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\Big(\int_{S_j(B)} |F(\sqrt{L})a d\mu(x)\Big)^{1/2} \le C2^{-2j\frac{\beta}{4}} V(B)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

The proof is complete.
From Proposition 6.5 and Theorem 1.2 we obtain the following result.

Theorem 6.7. *(i) Assume that L satisfies conditions $(H1)$ and $(H2)$. Let F be a bounded function defined on $(0,\infty)$ such that for some real number $\alpha > \frac{n(2-p)}{2p} + \frac{1}{2}$ and any non-zero function $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\frac{1}{2}, 2)$ satisfies the condition (38). Then the multiplier operator $F(L)$ is bounded from $H_L^p(X)$ to $L^p(X)$ for $0 < p < 1$.*

(ii) Under the same assumptions as (i), the operators $F(L)$ is bounded from $H_L^p(X)$ to H_L^p for all $0 < p \leq 1$.

(iii) Assume that L satisfies $(H1)$ and $(H3)$. Let F be a bounded function defined on $(0,\infty)$ such that for some real number $\alpha > \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$ and any non-zero function $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\frac{1}{2}, 2)$ there exists a constant C_η such that

$$\sup_{t>0} \|\eta(\cdot)F(t\cdot)\|_{W^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_\eta. \tag{40}$$

Then the commutator of $F(L)$ and a BMO function b is bounded from $H_L^1(X)$ to $L^{1,\infty}(X)$.

Proof: (i) is a directly consequence of Proposition 6.5 and Theorem 1.2.

(iii) The Gaussian upper bound condition (H3) together with (40) imply $F(L)$ is weak type of $(1,1)$, see [DOS]. Therefore, by Proposition 6.5 and Theorem 1.2 again, the commutator of $F(L)$ and a BMO function b is bounded from $H_L^1(X)$ to $L^{1,\infty}(X)$.

(ii) We can show (ii) by first showing that $F(L)$ maps a $(1,2,m)$ atom to $H_L^1(X)$. It then follows that $F(L)$ is bounded on $H_L^p(X)$ for all $0 < p \leq 1$. We sketch the proof here for reader's convenience.

Due to Proposition 2.6 and the fact that the condition (38) is invariant under the change of variable $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^s$, it suffices to show that there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for any $(p,2,2m)$-atom a for H_L^p the function

$$\widetilde{a} = F(\sqrt{L})a$$

is a multiple of $(p,2,M,\epsilon)$-molecule.

Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and $\gamma > 1/2$ such that $\gamma + \epsilon + \frac{n(2-p)}{2p} = \alpha$. Set $\beta = \frac{n(2-p)}{p} + 2\epsilon$. Then $\gamma + \beta/2 = \alpha$.

Let $j_0 = -\log_2 r_B$, $a = L^{2m}b$ with $m > \beta/4$ and $\widetilde{b} = F(\sqrt{L})L^m b$. Then $\widetilde{a} = L^m\widetilde{b}$.

Fix a function $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\frac{1}{2}, 2)$ such that

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \phi(2^{-j}\lambda) = 1 \text{ for } \lambda > 0.$$

Then, for $0 \le l \le m$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}(r_B^2 L)^k \widetilde{b} =& r_B^{2k} \sum_{j \ge j_0} \phi(2^{-j}\sqrt{L}) F(\sqrt{L}) L^{k+m} b \\ &+ r_B^{2k} \sum_{j<j_0} \phi(2^{-j}\sqrt{L}) L^m F(\sqrt{L}) L^k b \\ &= r_B^{2k} \sum_{j \ge j_0} \phi(2^{-j}\sqrt{L}) F(\sqrt{L}) b_1 + r_B^{2k} \sum_{j<j_0} \phi(2^{-j}\sqrt{L}) L^m F(\sqrt{L}) b_2.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\|b_1\|_{L^2} \le r_B^{2m-2k} V(B)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}} \text{ and } \|b_2\|_{L^2} \le r_B^{4m-2k} V(B)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Set

$$F_j(\lambda) = \begin{cases} F(2^j\lambda)\phi(\lambda) & , j \ge j_0 \\ 2^{2mj} F(2^j\lambda)\lambda^{2m}\phi(\lambda) & , j < j_0. \end{cases}$$

and extend F_j to the even function. Obviously,

$$\|F_j\|_{W^{2,\alpha}} \le \begin{cases} C & , j \ge j_0 \\ C2^{2mj} & , j < j_0. \end{cases}$$

Applying Lemma 6.6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(\int_{d(x,x_B)>2r_B} |(r_B^2 L)^k \widetilde{b}|^2 \Big(\frac{d(x,x_B)}{r_B}\Big)^{\beta} d\mu(x)\Big)^{1/2} \\ &\qquad \le C r_B^{2k} \sum_{j \ge j_0} (r_B 2^j)^{-\beta/2} \|F_j\|_{W^{2,\alpha}} \|b_1\|_{L^2} \\ &\qquad\quad + mC r_B^{2k} \sum_{j<j_0} (r_B 2^j)^{-\beta/2} \|F_j\|_{W^{2,\alpha}} \|b_2\|_{L^2} \\ &\qquad \le C r_B^{2M} V(B)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}.\end{aligned}$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|(r_B^2 L)^k \widetilde{b}\|_{L^2(X)} &= \|r_B^{2k} m(\sqrt{L}) L^{k+m} b\|_{L^2(X)} \\ &\le C r_B^{2k} \|m\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|b_1\| \le C r_B^{2m} V(B)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}.\end{aligned}$$

So, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $j \ge 0$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\|(r_B^2 L)^k \widetilde{b}\|^2_{L^2(S_j(B))} &\le C\Big(\int_{S_j(B)} |(r_B^2 L)^k \widetilde{b}|^2 \Big(1 + \frac{d(x,x_B)}{r_B}\Big)^{\beta} 2^{-j\beta} d\mu(x) \le \Big)^{1/2} \\ &\le C r_B^{4m} 2^{-j\beta} V(B)^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \\ &\le C r_B^{4m} 2^{-2j\epsilon} V(2^j B)^{1-\frac{2}{p}}.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\widetilde{a} = F(\sqrt{L})a$ is a multiple of $(p, 2, m, \epsilon)$-molecule and the multiple constant is independent of a. Our proof is complete.

Remark 6.8. i) When $p = 1$, by the same estimate it was shown in [DP] that $F(L)$ maps a $(1, 2, m)$ atom into $H^1_L(X)$, but boundedness of $F(L)$ on the Hardy spaces was not obtained in [DP]. In our work, using the fact that the convergence in the atomic decomposition in Hardy spaces H^p_L is in the sense of $L^2(X)$, we can obtain the boundedness of $F(L)$ on $H^P_L(X)$.

ii) The condition (40) in (iii) of Theorem 6.7 can be replaced by $\sup_{t>0} \|\eta(\cdot)F(t\cdot)\|_{W^{\infty,\alpha}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_\eta$ with $\alpha > n/2$. In this situation, we can use the following estimates in [DOS, Lemma 4.3] instead of Lemma 6.6 to obtain Proposition 6.5. Since the proof is quite similar to that of Proposition 6.5, we omit details here.

Lemma 6.9. *Suppose that L satisfies (H1) and (H3), $R > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C = C(s, \epsilon)$ such that*

$$\int_X \left|K_{F(\sqrt{L})}(x,y)\right|^2 \left(1 + Rd(x,y)\right)^\alpha d\mu(x) \leq \frac{C}{V(y, R^{-1})} \|\delta_R F\|^2_{W^q_{\frac{\alpha}{2}+\epsilon}} \tag{41}$$

for all Borel functions F such that $\operatorname{supp} F \subseteq [R/4, R]$, *where $K_{F(\sqrt{L})}(x,y)$ is the associated kernel to $F(\sqrt{L})$.*

iii) The approach in this paper can be applied to consider the boundedness of the commutators of generalized fractional integrals on Hardy spaces associated to operators. This will appear in the forthcoming paper [A2].

References

[AHS] H. Amann, M. Hieber and G. Simonett, Bounded H_∞-calculus for elliptic operators, Differential and Integral Equations 7 (1994), no. 3-4, 613-653.

[A1] B. T. Anh, Weighted norm inequalities for Riesz transforms of magnetic Schrödinger operators, to appear in Differential and Integral Equations.

[A2] B. T. Anh, The endpoint estimates of the commutators of generalized fractional integrals on Hardy spaces associated to operators, preprint.

[AL] B. T. Anh and J. Li, Orlicz-Hardy spaces associated to operators satisfying bounded H_∞ functional calculus and Davies-Gaffney estimates, to appear in Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications.

[AB] P. Auscher, and B. Ben Ali, Maximal inequalities and Riesz transform estimates on L^p spaces for Schrödinger operators with nonnegative potentials, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 57 (2007), 1975-2013.

[ACDH] P. Auscher, T. Coulhon, X. T. Duong, and S. Hofmann, Riesz transform on manifolds and heat kernel regularity, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 37 (2004), 911-957.

[ADM] P. Auscher, X.T. Duong and A. McIntosh, Boundedness of Banach space valued singular integral operators and Hardy spaces, unpublished manuscript.

[AM] P. Auscher, and J. M. Martell, Weighted norm inequalities, off-diagonal estimates and elliptic operators. Part IV: Riesz transforms on manifolds and weights, Math. Z. 260 (2008), 527-539.

[AMR] P. Auscher, A. McIntosh, and E. Russ, Hardy spaces of differential forms on Riemannian manifolds. J. Geom. Anal. 18 (2008), no. 1, 192-248.

[AR] P. Auscher and E. Russ, Hardy spaces and divergence operators on strongly Lipschitz domain of $\mathbb{R}^n$, J. Funct. Anal. 201 (2003), 148-184.

[CD1] T. Coulhon, and X.T. Duong, Maximal regularity and kernel bounds: observations on a theorem by Hieber and Prüss, Adv. Differential Equations 5 (2000), 343-368.

[CD2] T. Coulhon and X. T. Duong, Riesz transforms for $1 \leq p \leq 2$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 351 (1999), no. 3, 1151-1169

[CD3] T. Coulhon, X. T. Duong, and X. D. Li, Littlewood-Paley-Stein functions on complete Riemannian manifolds for $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Studia Math. 154 (2003), no. 1, 37-57.

[CFKS] H.L. Cycon, R.G. Foese, W. Kirsh, and B. Simon, *Schrödinger operators with applications to quantum mechanics and global geometry*, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer Verlag, 1987.

[CRW] R.R. Coifman, R. Rochberg, and G. Weiss, Factorization theorem for Hardy spaces in several variables, Ann. of Math. 103 (1976), 611-635.

[Da] E.B. Davies, Non-Gaussian aspects of heat kernel behaviour, J. London Math. Soc. 55 (1997), 105-125.

[DL] X. T. Duong and J. Li, Hardy spaces associated to operators satisfying bounded H_∞ functional calculus and Davies-Gaffney estimates, preprint 2009.

[DM] X. T. Duong and A. McIntosh, Singular integral operators with non-smooth kernels on irregular domains, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 15 (1999), 233–265.

[DR] X. T. Duong and D. Robinson, Semigroup kernels, Poisson bounds, and holomorphic functional calculus, J. Funct. Anal. 142 (1996), 89-128.

[DP] J. Dziubański and M. Preisner, Remarks on spectral multiplier theorems on Hardy spaces associated with semigroups of operators, Revista de la unión Matemática Argentina 50 (2009), 201-215.

[DOS] X.T. Duong, E.M. Ouhabaz and A. Sikora, Plancherel-type estimates and sharp spectral multipliers, J. Funct. Anal. 196 (2002), 443-485.

[DOY] X.T. Duong, E.M. Ouhabaz, and L.X. Yan, Endpoint estimates for Riesz transforms of magnetic Schrödinger operators, Ark. Mat. 44 (2006), 261-275.

[DY1] X.T. Duong, and L.X. Yan, Commutators of Riesz transforms of magnetic Schrödinger operators, Manuscripta Math. 127 (2008), 19-234.

[DY2] X.T. Duong and L.X. Yan, Duality of Hardy and BMO spaces associated with operators with heat kernel bounds, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (2005), 943–973.

[G] A. Grigor'yan, Gaussian upper bounds for the heat kernel on arbitrary manifolds, J. Differential Geom. 45 (1997), no.1, 33-52.

[HMa] S. Hofmann and J.M. Martell, L^p bounds for Riesz transforms and square roots associated to second order elliptic operators, Publ. Mat., 47 (2003), 497-515.

[HM] S. Hofmann and S. Mayboroda, Hardy and BMO spaces associated to divergence form elliptic operators, Math. Ann. 344 (2009), no. 1, 37-116.

[HLMMY] S. Hofmann, G. Lu, D. Mitrea, M. Mitrea and L. Yan, Hardy spaces associated to non-negative self-adjoint operators satisfying Davies-Gaffney estimates, preprint.

[HMMc] S. Hofmann, S. Mayboroda and A. McIntosh, Second order elliptic operators with complex bounded measurable coefficients in L^p, Sobolev and Hardy spaces, preprint.

[M] A. McIntosh, Operators which have an H_∞-calculus, Miniconference on operator theory and partial differential equations, Proc. Centre Math. Analysis, ANU, Canberra, 14 (1986), 210-231.

[Ou] E.M. Ouhabaz, *Analysis of heat equations on domains,* London Math. Soc. Monographs, Vol. 31, Princeton Univ. Press 2004.

[Pe] C. Pérez, Endpoint estimates for commutators of singular integral operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **128** (1995), 163-185.

[S] A. Sikora, Riesz transform, Gaussian bounds and the method of wave function, Math. Z. 247 (2004), 643-662.

[Si] B. Simon, Maximal and minimal Schrödinger forms, J. Op. Theory 1 (1979), 37-47.

[St] E.M. Stein, *Harmonic analysis: Real variable methods, orthogonality and oscillatory integrals*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, (1993).

[Y] L.X. Yan, Classes of Hardy spaces associated with operators, duality theorem and applications, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 360 (2008), 4383–4408.

[Yo] K. Yosida, Functional Analysis, Sixth Edition, Spring-Verlag, Berlin, 1978.

Department of Mathematics, Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia and
Department of Mathematics, University of Pedagogy, Ho chi Minh city, Vietnam
Email: the.bui@mq.ed.au

Department of Mathematics, Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia
Email: xuan.duong@mq.edu.au